Successor Agency to the El Cajon Redevelopment Agency

Basic Financial Statements and Independent Auditor's Report

For the year ended June 30, 2014



ROGERS, ANDERSON, MALODY & SCOTT, LLP CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS, SINCE 1948

Successor Agency to the El Cajon Redevelopment Agency Basic Financial Statements Table of Contents

Independent Auditor's Report	1
Statement of Fiduciary Net Position	3
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position	4
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements	5

735 E. Carnegie Dr. Suite 100 San Bernardino, CA 92408 909 889 0871 T 909 889 5361 F ramscpa.net

PARTNERS
Brenda L. Odle, CPA, MST
Terry P. Shea, CPA
Kirk A. Franks, CPA
Scott W. Manno, CPA, CGMA
Leena Shanbhag, CPA, MST, CGMA
Jay H. Zercher, CPA (Partner Emeritus)
Phillip H. Waller, CPA (Partner Emeritus)

MANAGERS / STAFF
Bradferd A. Welebir, CPA, MBA
Jenny Liu, CPA, MST
Papa Matar Thiaw, CPA, MBA
Seong-Hyea Lee, CPA, MBA
Charles De Simoni, CPA
Yiann Fang, CPA
Nathan Statham, CPA, MBA
Brigitta Bartha, CPA
Gardenya Duran, CPA
Juan Romero, CPA
Ivan Gonzales, CPA, MSA
Brianna Pascoe, CPA

MEMBERS American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

PCPS The AICPA Alliance for CPA Firms

Governmental Audit Quality Center

California Society of Certified Public Accountants To the Oversight Board Successor Agency of the El Cajon Redevelopment Agency El Cajon, California

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Successor Agency of the El Cajon Redevelopment Agency (the Agency), California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Agency's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's responsibility for the financial statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Successor Agency of the El Cajon Redevelopment Agency, California, as of June 30, 2014, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Report on summarized comparative information

Rogers Underson Malouly & Scott, LLP

We have previously audited the Agency's 2013 financial statements, and our report dated December 4, 2013, expressed an unmodified opinion on those audited financial statements. In our opinion, the summarized comparative information presented herein as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014, is consistent, in all material respects, with the audited financial statements from which it has been derived.

Other matters

Required supplementary information

Management has omitted management's discussion and analysis that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

San Bernardino, CA November 26, 2014

Successor Agency of the El Cajon Redevelopment Agency

Statement of Fiduciary Net Position June 30, 2014

(with comparative data for prior year)

	2014		2013	
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	6,691,228	\$	6,718,033
Cash and investments with fiscal agent		6,171,719		6,357,881
Receivables:				
Interest		1,695		1,575
Loans		3,265,227		4,527,304
Prepaid bond insurance		140,850		146,974
Assets held for resale		15,210,724		20,445,918
Capital assets:				
Land and nondepreciable capital assets		23,422		1,934,508
Depreciable assets, net				5,190,263
Total assets		31,504,865		45,322,456
LIABILITIES				
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		299,445		724,247
Interest payable		817,035		853,857
Deposits payable		2,000		2,000
Current portion of long-term debt		4,138,655		2,181,246
Long-term debt		61,153,565		62,768,606
Total liabilities		66,410,700		66,529,956
NET POSITION (DEFICIT)				
Held in trust for other purposes	\$	(34,905,835)	\$	(21,207,500)
	<u> </u>	\= :,000,000/		(=:,=::,:::00)

Successor Agency of the El Cajon Redevelopment Agency

Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014

(with comparative data for prior year)

	2014		2013	
ADDITIONS				
Property taxes	\$	5,865,233	\$	7,833,273
Intergovernmental		44,357		1,737,912
Investment earnings		23,452		36,284
Loss from assets held for resale		(56,500)		-
Other		43,098		43,412
Total additions		5,919,640		9,650,881
DEDUCTIONS				
Administrative expenses		241,428		253,016
Program/project expenses		2,680,079		2,287,345
Disposition of capital assets		11,480,770		- 2.470.200
Payments to affected taxing entities		2,054,777		3,170,390
Interest and fiscal agency expenses		3,160,921		3,460,346
Total deductions		19,617,975		9,171,097
Change in net position		(13,698,335)		479,784
Net position (deficit), beginning of the fiscal year		(21,207,500)		(21,687,284)
Net position (deficit), ending of the fiscal year	\$	(34,905,835)	\$	(21,207,500)

Note A: Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The basic financial statements of the Successor Agency of the El Cajon Redevelopment Agency (the Successor Agency) have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) as applied to governmental agencies. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The more significant of the Successor Agency's accounting policies are described below.

1. Reporting entity

On January 10, 2012, the City of El Cajon elected to be the successor agency to the former El Cajon Redevelopment Agency (the Agency.) Upon the dissolution of California redevelopment agencies on February 1, 2012, the Successor Agency is tasked with the responsibility of winding down the dissolved redevelopment agency's affairs, continuing to meet the former agency's enforceable obligations, overseeing completion of redevelopment projects, and disposing of the assets and properties of the former redevelopment agency; all as directed and approved by the Oversight Board. Oversight Board members have a fiduciary responsibility to holders of enforceable obligations, as well as to the local agencies that would benefit from property tax distributions from the former redevelopment project area. The Oversight Board of the Successor Agency is comprised of seven members appointed by the:

- County Board of Supervisors (two members)
- Mayor of the City of El Cajon (one member)
- County Superintendent of Education (one member)
- Chancellor of California Community Colleges (one member)
- Largest special district taxing entity (one member); and
- Mayor of City of El Cajon representing the employees of the former redevelopment agency (one member).

City of El Cajon employees perform the necessary day-to-day activities of the Successor Agency to bring existing projects to completion, collect information and perform analysis regarding disposal of agency assets, and provide administrative support to the Oversight Board.

The Successor Agency's assets and activities are accounted for in a fiduciary fund (private-purpose trust fund), since the Successor Agency is not a component unit of the City of El Cajon's financial reporting entity.

Note A: Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting (continued)

2. Basis of accounting and measurement focus

The Successor Agency serves as the custodian of the assets for the dissolved redevelopment agency. Based on the nature of this custodial role, the assets and liabilities of the dissolved redevelopment agency are reported as fiduciary fund (private-purpose trust fund). The private-purpose trust fund financial statements consist of a Statement of Fiduciary Net Position ("balance sheet") and a Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position ("income statement").

Private-purpose trust funds are accounted for using the "economic resources" measurement focus and accrual basis of accounting. Accordingly, all of the Successor Agency's assets and liabilities (both current and noncurrent) are included in the Statement of Fiduciary Net Position. The Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position presents additions to (revenues) and deductions from (expenses) the total net position. Expenses are recorded in the period in which the liability is incurred while revenues are recognized in the period in which they are earned. Property tax revenues are recognized in the fiscal year for which they are levied.

3. Property Tax

The Successor Agency's primary source of funding is property taxes allocated by the San Diego County-Auditor's Office (CAC) from the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF). The allocation of property taxes is related to the repayment of the former redevelopment agency's enforceable obligations. The Successor Agency prepares a Recognized Obligations Payment Schedule (ROPS) estimating the RPTTF funds required to pay its obligations for each six-month period (January-June and July-December). The ROPS is subject to review and approval of the Oversight Board, CAC, and State Department of Finance (DOF).

The Successor Agency receives allocation of property taxes for its approved ROPS items after payments of the County's administrative costs and pass-through payments to affected taxing entities. In addition to the ROPS payments, the Successor Agency is allocated annually an administrative allowance equal to 3% of the approved RPTTF funding or the minimum amount of \$250,000, whichever is greater.

The County of San Diego (County) assesses, bills, and collects property taxes on behalf of numerous special districts and incorporated cities. The County distributes property taxes collected and deposited in the RPTTF to the successor agencies and the residual balances to other taxing entities in January and June of each year.

The Successor Agency has no power to levy and collect taxes, and any legislative property tax reduction might decrease the amount of tax revenues that would otherwise be available to pay the principal and interest on bonds and other obligations. Broadened property tax exemptions could have a similar effect. Conversely, any increase in the tax rate or assessed valuation, or any reduction or elimination of present exemptions, would increase the amount of tax revenues that would be available to pay enforceable obligations.

Note A: Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

4. Annual Budget

Prior to the beginning of the fiscal year, the Oversight Board of the Successor Agency adopts an annual budget for the conduct of necessary activities, including administration, of the former redevelopment agency. Supplemental appropriations required during the period may also be approved by the Board.

5. Cash and investments

The cash and investments held by the Successor Agency are pooled in the City's cash and investments, except for cash held by the fiscal agents and funds invested in a Successor Agency Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) account. The Successor Agency's share in this pool is displayed in the accompanying basic financial statements as *cash and investments*. Based on monthly average cash and investment balances, investment income earned by the pooled investments is allocated quarterly to the various City funds, City component units and for certain agencies, including the Successor Agency.

The Successor Agency participates in LAIF, an investment pool managed by the State of California. Investments are reported at fair value and changes in fair value that occur during a fiscal year are reported as *investment earnings* for that fiscal year. *Investment earnings* include interest earnings, changes in fair value, and any gains or losses realized upon the liquidation or sale of investments.

6. Assets held for resale

Assets held for resale, consisting of real property and equipment, are recorded at the lower of acquisition cost or estimated resale value.

7. Capital assets

Capital assets are reported at historical costs where records are available and at an estimated cost, if no historical records exist. Contributed fixed assets are valued at their estimated fair market value at the date of donation. Equipment purchases and capital projects with costs in excess of \$10,000 and estimated useful life in excess of two years are capitalized. Capital assets are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following useful lives:

Capital Asset	Useful Life
Infrastructure	20 - 60 years
Buildings and improvements	10 - 50 years
Machinery and equipment	2 - 20 years

Note A: Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

8. Liabilities

Liabilities reflect the Successor Agency's financial obligations as of June 30, including the repayment of tax allocation bonds issued by the former redevelopment agency. Bond issuance and discount costs are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the straight-line method. Long-term debt is reported net of bond discount.

9. Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of financial statements and the related reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from estimates. Management believes that the estimates are reasonable.

Note B: Cash and Investments

Statement of Fiduciary Net Position:

Cash and investments, as of June 30, 2014, are classified in the accompanying financial statements as follows:

Cash and investments Restricted cash and investments with fiscal agent	\$	6,691,228 6,171,719
Total cash and investments	\$	12,862,947
Cash and investments, as of June 30, 2014, consist of the following	:	
Cash on hand and deposits in City pool Investments	\$	2,366,020 10,496,927
Total cash and investments	\$	12,862,947

Note B: Cash and Investments (continued)

Equity in the cash and investment pool of the City of El Cajon

The Successor Agency participates in the cash and investment pool managed by the City of El Cajon. The pool is governed by and under the regulatory oversight of the investment policy adopted by the City Council. The Successor Agency did not adopt an investment policy separate from that of the City of El Cajon. The cash and investment pool, other than debt proceeds held in restricted accounts, may be invested in any instrument authorized by the California Government Code Section 53601 and by the City's investment policy. The list of investment types authorized for the City is provided in the cash and investment notes to the basic financial statements of the City.

The Successor Agency's cash and investment pooled in the City's cash and investment is reported in the accompanying financial statements at fair value amounts based upon the Successor Agency's pro-rata share of the fair value calculated for the entire City portfolio.

Investments authorized by debt agreements

Investment of debt proceeds with fiscal agents is governed by provisions of the debt agreements, rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code or the City's investment policy. The following table identifies the investment types that are authorized for investments with fiscal agents. The table also identifies certain provisions of these debt agreements that address interest rate risk and concentration of credit risk.

	Maximum	Maximum Percentage	Maximum Investment
Authorized Investment Type	Maturity	Allowed	in One Issuer
General Obligations of States	None	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	None	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	None	None	None
Banker's Acceptances	360 days	None	None
Commercial Paper	270 days	None	None
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	None	None
Investment Contracts	30 years	None	None
Pre-Refunded Municipal Obligations	None	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	\$50,000,000

Note B: Cash and Investments (continued)

Investment in State investment pool

The Successor Agency is a voluntary participant in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) that is regulated by the California Government Code under the oversight of the Treasurer of the State of California. The fair value of the investment in this pool is stated at amounts based upon the Successor Agency's pro-rata share of fair value provided by LAIF for the entire LAIF portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio.) The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF, which are recorded on an amortized cost basis.

LAIF is a governmental investment pool managed and directed by the California State Treasurer and is not registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission. An oversight committee comprised of California State officials and various participants provide oversight to the management of the fund. The daily operations and responsibilities of LAIF fall under the auspices of the State Treasurer's office. The maximum investment in LAIF is \$50,000,000.

Risk Disclosures

Interest Rate Risk – This is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. The longer the maturity of an investment, the greater is the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. Exposure to interest rate risk is minimized by purchasing a combination of shorter term and longer term investments and by timing the cash flows from maturities so that a portion of the portfolio is maturing and or coming close to maturity evenly over time to provide the cash flow and liquidity needed for operations.

The Successor Agency's exposure to interest rate risk as a result of its equity in the cash and investment pool of the City is provided by disclosures in the notes to the basic financial statements of the City that show the distribution of the City's investments by maturity.

The sensitivity of the Successor Agency's investments to market interest rate fluctuations is minimized with the following investments maturing at 12 months or less:

Investment Type	Maturity at 12 Months Or Less
State Investment Pool Held by fiscal agent:	\$ 4,325,208
Invesco Treasury	1,317,320
State Investment Pool	4,854,399
Total	\$ 10,496,927

Note B: Cash and Investments (continued)

Risk Disclosures (continued)

Credit Risk – This is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligations to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. Presented in the following table is the minimum rating required by (where applicable) the California Government Code, the Successor Agency's investment policy or debt agreements, and the actual rating as of June 30, 2014, for each investment type.

		Minimum	Rating	ıs at Ju	une 30, 2014		
Investment Type	Amount	Legal Rating	AAA	\	Not Rated		
State Investment Pool Held by fiscal agent:	\$ 4,325,208	N/A	\$	-	\$ 4,325,208		
Invesco Treasury	1,317,320	AAA	1,317	,320	-		
State Investment Pool	4,854,399	N/A		-	4,854,399		
Total	\$ 10,496,927		\$ 1,317	,320	\$ 9,179,607		

Custodial Credit Risk – The custodial credit risk for *deposits* is the risk that in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, a government will not be able to recover deposits or collateral securities that are held by an outside party. As of June 30, 2014, none of the Successor Agency's deposits pooled with the City's deposits was held in uncollateralized accounts. The Successor Agency does not have significant separate certificates of deposit or demand accounts held by the fiscal agent that are subject to custodial credit risk disclosure.

The custodial credit risk for *investments* is the risk that in the event of the failure of the counterparty to a transaction, a government will not be able to recover the value of investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The Successor Agency does not have direct investments in securities subject to custodial credit disclosure. For the investments held by the fiscal agent, the fiscal agent selects the investment under the terms of the applicable trust agreement, acquires the investment, and holds the investment on behalf of the reporting government.

Note C: Loans Receivable

The Successor Agency is tasked with monitoring the loan agreements entered into by the former El Cajon Redevelopment Agency for financing construction and tenant improvements of businesses in the project area. As of June 30, 2014, the Successor Agency had the following loans receivables:

Balance at June 30, 2014

Parkway Plaza GP, LLC

In March 2011, the former Agency entered into an Owner Participation Agreement with Parkway Plaza GP, LLC (Developer) to rehabilitate and renovate the Westfield Parkway Shopping Mall (Site.) The Agency loaned the Developer \$1,972,400 for the construction of façade improvements and landscaping within the shopping center and completion of tenant improvements to accommodate new retail uses. The terms and conditions of the Agency loan are stated in a promissory note. Interest accrues annually on the outstanding principal balance at the LIBOR Rate with accrued interest forgiven first, then principal, in an amount equal to the sales taxes and net property taxes generated from the Site each operating year. In the first operating period 10/01/2012 - 09/30/2013, \$6,653 of accrued interest and \$1,258,168 of principal were forgiven. As of June 30, 2014, the balance outstanding includes principal and accrued interest of \$714,231 and \$996, respectively.

\$ 715,227

JKC Palm Springs Automotive, Inc.

In March 2011, the former Agency entered into an Owner Participation Agreement with JKC Palm Springs Automotive, Inc. (Developer) to rehabilitate the real property, buildings, and facilities operated as the Team KIA El Cajon motorcar dealership (Site). The Agency loaned the Developer \$650,000 for the Site improvements. The loan was secured by a Deed of Trust with interest accruing annually at 3% starting November 2015. Beginning on November 2016, the Agency shall forgive accrued interest first, then principal, in an amount equal to the sales taxes generated from the Site each operating year. The Developer must pay any balance of outstanding principal and accrued interest to the Agency by November 2022. As of June 30, 2014, the outstanding principal was \$650,000.

650,000

Note C: Loans Receivable (continued)

	alance at ne 30, 2014
Inland Properties (US) Inc.	
In April 2011, the former Agency authorized the sale of the 440 and 542 N. Johnson Avenue property (Site) to Inland Properties (US) Inc. (Developer) for \$2,500,000. The Developer made a down payment of \$600,000 and a promissory note was executed for the remaining \$1,900,000. The note was secured by a Deed of Trust. Repayment begins on July 2019 for a period of ten years, with interest accruing annually at a rate of 3.25% on the outstanding principal loan balance. The Agency shall forgive accrued interest first, then principal, in an amount equal to the sales and use taxes generated from sales occurring on the Site in each year. As of June 30, 2014, the outstanding principal was \$1,900,000.	\$ 1,900,000
Total	\$ 3,265,227

Note D: Capital Assets

At June 30, 2014, the capital assets held by the Successor Agency consisted of the following:

	Beginning balance				Ending balance		
Nondepreciable assets:							
Land	\$	1,934,508	\$		\$ 1,911,086	\$	23,422
Total nondepreciable assets		1,934,508			1,911,086		23,422
Depreciable assets:							
Land improvements		5,992,732			 5,992,732		_
Total depreciable assets		5,992,732			 5,992,732		
Less accumulated depreciation:							
Land improvements		(802,469)		(599,273)	 (1,401,742)		-
Total accumulated depreciation		(802,469)		(599,273)	(1,401,742)		
Capital assets, net	\$	7,124,771	\$	(599,273)	\$ 6,502,076	\$	23,422

The Successor Agency began implementation of its Long Range Property Management Plan upon receiving approval from the California Department of Finance on February 21, 2014. Deletions of capital assets included those land and land improvements transferred to the City of El Cajon and El Cajon Housing Authority for governmental purposes as approved by the Oversight Board.

Note E: Long-Term Liabilities

The Successor Agency is required to make scheduled payments and perform obligations with respect to the long-term liabilities of the former El Cajon Redevelopment Agency. The following is a schedule of changes in long-term liabilities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014:

	Beginning balance	Additions	Deletions	Ending balance	Due within one year
Bonds: 2000 Tax allocation refunding bonds	\$ 15,885,000	\$ -	\$ 35,000	\$ 15,850,000	\$ 40,000
2005 Tax allocation refunding bonds	33,760,000	-	1,045,000	32,715,000	1,085,000
2007 Tax allocation Bonds	14,015,000	- _	330,000	13,685,000	345,000
Subtotal bonds	63,660,000	-	1,410,000	62,250,000	1,470,000
Less Unamortized Discount	(1,024,106)		(44,526)	(979,580)	
Total bonds	62,635,894		1,365,474	61,270,420	1,470,000
Due to the City of El Cajon	2,313,958	1,840,665	132,823	4,021,800	2,668,655
Total long-term debt	\$ 64,949,852	\$ 1,840,665	\$ 1,498,297	\$ 65,292,220	\$ 4,138,655

Note E: Long-Term Liabilities (continued)

2000 Tax allocation refunding bonds

On August 15, 2000, the former Agency issued \$16,000,000 Taxable Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Issue of 2000, and (the Bonds) to refund the bank notes payable. The Bonds are being issued on a parity basis with the Agency's Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Issue of 1997. The Bonds are term bonds maturing on October 1, 2020, and October 1, 2030, and are subject to mandatory redemption from minimum sinking account payments, in part by lot, by October 1, 2006, and October 1, 2021, respectively, and on each October 1 thereafter at a redemption price equal to the principal amount thereof plus accrued interest to the redemption date. The Bonds are subject to optional redemption prior to maturity, in whole or in part, on any date. Interest is payable semi-annually on April 1 and October 1 at interest rates ranging from 7.6% to 7.7%. The Agency pledged 100% of property tax from the RPTTF as security for the bonds.

The debt service requirements for the 2000 Bonds at June 30, 2014 were as follows:

Year Ending June 30,	Principal		Interest		 Total
2015	\$	40,000	\$	1,218,555	\$ 1,258,555
2016		50,000		1,215,135	1,265,135
2017		55,000		1,211,145	1,266,145
2018		55,000		1,206,965	1,261,965
2019		55,000		1,202,785	1,257,785
2020 – 2024		1,730,000		5,888,065	7,618,065
2025 – 2029		9,150,000		3,680,600	12,830,600
2030 – 2034		4,715,000		369,793	 5,084,793
		_			
Totals	\$	15,850,000	\$	15,993,043	\$ 31,843,043

Note E: Long-Term Liabilities (continued)

2005 Tax allocation refunding bonds

On October 1, 2005, the former Agency issued \$40,000,000 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Issue of 2005, (the Bonds) to advance refund the 1997 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, of which \$29,440,000 were outstanding as of October 1, 2005. As of June 30, 2006, the 1997 bonds had been paid in full. This resulted in a present value cash flow savings of \$1,070,000 and a deferred amount on refunding (difference between the present value of the new debt service payments and the old debt service payments) of \$10,176,549. The Bonds are term bonds maturing on October 1, 2030 and October 1, 2036, and are subject to mandatory redemption from minimum sinking account payments, in part by lot, on October 1, 2023, and October 1, 2031, respectively, and on each October 1 thereafter at a redemption price equal to the principal amount thereof plus accrued interest to the redemption date. Interest is payable semi-annually on April 1 and October 1 at interest rates ranging from 3% to 4.5%. The Agency pledged 100% of property revenues from the RPTTF as security for the bonds. The bonds are presented net of unamortized discount of \$979,580.

The debt service requirements for the 2005 Bonds at June 30, 2014 were as follows:

Year Ending June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2015	\$ 1,085,000	\$ 1,420,849	\$ 2,505,849
2016	1,125,000	1,373,886	2,498,886
2017	1,170,000	1,325,118	2,495,118
2018	1,225,000	1,272,693	2,497,693
2019	1,285,000	1,219,430	2,504,430
2020 – 2024	5,880,000	5,251,503	11,131,503
2025 – 2029	1,000,000	4,600,125	5,600,125
2030 – 2034	9,530,000	3,811,500	13,341,500
2035 – 2038	10,415,000	716,738	11,131,738
	32,715,000	20,991,842	53,706,842
Less Unamortized Discount	(979,580)		(979,580)
Totals	\$ 31,735,420	\$ 20,991,842	\$ 52,727,262

Note E: Long-Term Liabilities (continued)

2007 Tax allocation bonds

On October 1, 2006, the former Agency issued \$15,750,000 Tax Allocation Bonds, Issue of 2007, (the Bonds) to finance redevelopment project activities within or for the benefit of the City of El Cajon Redevelopment Project Area of the Agency. The Bonds are being issued on a parity basis with the Agency's Taxable Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Issue of 2000, and Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Issue of 2005. The Bonds are term bonds maturing October 1, 2030, and October 1, 2037, and are subject to mandatory redemption from mandatory sinking account payments, in part by lot, on October 1, 2023, and October 1, 2031, respectively, and on each October 1 thereafter at a redemption price equal to the principal amount thereof plus accrued interest to the redemption date. The Bonds are subject to optional redemption prior to maturity, in whole or in part, on any date. Interest is payable semi-annually on April 1 and October 1 at interest rates ranging from 3.45% to 4.25%. The Agency pledged 100% of property tax revenues from the RPTTF as security for the bonds.

The debt service requirements for the 2007 Bonds at June 30, 2014 were as follows:

Year Ending June 30,	<u>Principal</u>	Interest	Total
2015	\$ 345,000	\$ 579,362	\$ 924,362
2016	355,000	564,488	919,488
2017	375,000	548,975	923,975
2018	390,000	532,718	922,718
2019	405,000	515,825	920,825
2020 – 2024	2,125,000	2,311,612	4,436,612
2025 – 2029	1,920,000	1,907,343	3,827,343
2030 – 2034	3,435,000	1,373,319	4,808,319
2035 – 2038	4,335,000	419,235	4,754,235
Totals	\$ 13,685,000	\$ 8,752,877	\$ 22,437,877

Note E: Long-Term Liabilities (continued)

The annual requirements to amortize all bonds outstanding at June 30, 2014, including interest payments to maturity, are as follows:

Year Ending at June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2015	\$ 1,470,000	\$ 3,218,766	\$ 4,688,766
2016	1,530,000	3,153,509	4,683,509
2017	1,600,000	3,085,238	4,685,238
2018	1,670,000	3,012,376	4,682,376
2019	1,745,000	2,938,040	4,683,040
2020 – 2024	9,735,000	13,451,180	23,186,180
2025 – 2029	12,070,000	10,188,068	22,258,068
2030 – 2034	17,680,000	5,554,612	23,234,612
2035 – 2038	14,750,000	1,135,973	15,885,973
	62,250,000	45,737,762	107,987,762
Less Unamortized Discount	(979,580)		(979,580)
Totals	\$ 61,270,420	\$ 45,737,762	\$ 107,008,182

Due to the City of El Cajon, Land Purchase

The former El Cajon Redevelopment Agency entered into an agreement with the City of El Cajon to purchase the property at 100 Fletcher Parkway on June 15, 2011. The property was the site of the former police facility, and was a key parcel within the Project Area for future commercial development. The appraisal was for \$4,850,000, which was the purchase price of the property. The former Agency made a \$1 million down payment and executed a promissory note secured by a Deed of Trust for \$3,850,000 bearing an interest rate of 3% with annual payment of \$840,665 for five years.

On February 11, 2014, the City of El Cajon complied with the State Controller's Office (SCO) order issued in the Asset Transfer Review report and turned over \$1,840,665 of cash assets to the Successor Agency for remittance to the county auditor-controller. The funds returned by the City comprised of the \$1 million down payment and the first installment payment of \$840,665 made by the former Agency for the land purchase. The Successor Agency executed a second promissory note for the \$1 million down payment, which is due to the City in fiscal year 2014-15.

Based on AB1484 requirements, loan payments by the Successor Agency to the City must be recalculated at the LAIF interest rate of 0.26% and repayments cannot begin until fiscal year 2014-15. The total outstanding principal due to the City at June 30, 2014 was \$4,021,800.

Note F: Commitments and Contingencies

Some enforceable obligations of the Successor Agency represent agreements, contracts or other commitments for the expenditure of monies. They do not constitute as expense or liability for financial statement purposes because these commitments will be honored in subsequent years.