## City of El Cajon El Cajon, California

## Single Audit Report on Federal Awards

Year Ended June 30, 2017

# Single Audit Report on Federal Awards Table of Contents

	Page
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1
Report on Compliance for Each Major Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	8
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	10
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	12

735 E. Carnegie Dr. Suite 100 San Bernardino, CA 92408 909 889 0871 T 909 889 5361 F ramscpa.net

PARTNERS
Brenda L. Odle, CPA, MST
Terry P. Shea, CPA
Kirk A. Franks, CPA
Scott W. Manno, CPA, CGMA
Leena Shanbhag, CPA, MST, CGMA
Bradferd A. Welebir, CPA, MBA, CGMA
Jay H. Zercher, CPA (Partner Emeritus)
Phillip H. Waller, CPA (Partner Emeritus)

MANAGERS / STAFF
Jenny Liu, CPA, MST
Seong-Hyea Lee, CPA, MBA
Charles De Simoni, CPA
Nathan Statham, CPA, MBA
Gardenya Duran, CPA
Brianna Schultz, CPA
Lisa Dongxue Guo, CPA, MSA

MEMBERS
American Institute of
Certified Public Accountants

PCPS The AICPA Alliance for CPA Firms

Governmental Audit Quality Center

California Society of Certified Public Accountants

# REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable City Council City of El Cajon, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of City of El Cajon (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 19, 2017.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

To the Honorable City Council City of El Cajon

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Rogers, Anderson, Malody e Scott, LLP.

San Bernardino, California

December 19, 2017

735 E. Carnegie Dr. Suite 100 San Bernardino, CA 92408 909 889 0871 T 909 889 5361 F ramscpa.net

PARTNERS
Brenda L. Odle, CPA, MST
Terry P. Shea, CPA
Kirk A. Franks, CPA
Scott W. Manno, CPA, CGMA
Leena Shanbhag, CPA, MST, CGMA
Bradferd A. Welebir, CPA, MBA, CGMA
Jay H. Zercher, CPA (Partner Emeritus)
Phillip H. Waller, CPA (Partner Emeritus)

MANAGERS / STAFF
Jenny Liu, CPA, MST
Seong-Hyea Lee, CPA, MBA
Charles De Simoni, CPA
Nathan Statham, CPA, MBA
Gardenya Duran, CPA
Brianna Schultz, CPA
Lisa Dongxue Guo, CPA, MSA

MEMBERS American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

PCPS The AICPA Alliance for CPA Firms

Governmental Audit Quality Center

California Society of Certified Public Accountants

# REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable City Council City of El Cajon, California

#### Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of El Cajon's (the City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 US Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

#### Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017.

#### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

# Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of El Cajon as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated December 19, 2017 which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditure of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Kogers, Anderson, Malody & Scott, LLP.

San Bernardino, California

December 19, 2017

### Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended June 30, 2017

	Federal CFDA	Program/Project	Federal	Amount Provided to
Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Number	Identification Number	Expenditures	Subrecipients
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development  Direct Assistance:				
2014 Community Development Block Grant	14.218*	B-14-MC-06-0541	\$ 245,132	\$ -
2015 Community Development Block Grant	14.218*	B-15-MC-06-0541	101,489	=
2016 Community Development Block Grant	14.218*	B-16-MC-06-0541	477,147	189,163
Total CFDA 14.218			823,768	189,163
2010 HOME Investment Partnership Grant	14.239	M- 10- MC- 06- 0508	3,786	<u>-</u>
2011 HOME Investment Partnership Grant	14.239	M- 11- MC- 06- 0508	394,342	_
2012 HOME Investment Partnership Grant	14.239	M- 12- MC- 06- 0508	323,551	_
2013 HOME Investment Partnership Grant	14.239	M- 13- MC- 06- 0508	74,429	_
2015 HOME Investment Partnership Grant	14.239	M- 15- MC- 06- 0508	163,677	_
2016 HOME Investment Partnership Grant	14.239	M- 16- MC- 06- 0508	377,745	4,902
Total CFDA 14.239			1,337,530	4,902
Total II C. Department of Heusing and II	luban Dave	. I a m m a m f	2.464.200	40.4.065
Total U.S. Department of Housing and U	rban beve	eropment	2,161,298	194,065
U.S. Department of Justice				
Direct Assistance:	16 000	CA037050	07.047	
Asset Forfeiture	16.922	CA037050	87,217 87,217	
Total CFDA 16.922			87,217	
Project Safe Neighborhoods	16.609	91-SD-C47778	15,883	-
Total CFDA 16.609			15,883	-
				_
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program 2016	16.738	2016-DJ-BX-0231	11,745	
Total CFDA 16.738			11,745	
Fugitive Apprehension Task Force	16.000	2010-USMS	8,771	-
Drug Enforcement Agency				
Passed through the County of San Diego				
Domestic Cannabis Eradication	16.000	USDOJ DEA #2014-42	6,608	=
Total CFDA 16.000			15,379	-
Total U.S. Department of Justice			130,224	
U.S. Department of Transportation				
Passed through the State of California Department of Transportation:				
Highway Safety Improvement Program	20.205	HSIPL-5211(030)	1,839	-
Highway Safety Improvement Program	20.205	HSIPL-5211(031)	750,407	-
Highway Safety Improvement Program	20.205	HSIPL-5211(032)	321,293	-
Highway Safety Improvement Program	20.205	HSIPL-5211(035)	6,555	-
Safe Routes to School Program	20.205	SRTSL-5211(024)	50,322	-
Safe Routes to School Program	20.205	SRTSL-5211(034)	132,862	-
Total CFDA 20.205		, ,	1,263,278	
Passed through the State of California Office of Traffic Safety				
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	OTS-38-PT1405	16,253	
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	OTS-38-PT1732	41,148	
Total CFDA 20.600	20.000	010-00-611132	57,401	
			07,701	
Passed through the State of California Office of Traffic Safety				
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicate		OTS-38-PT1405	17,750	-
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicate	20.608	OTS-38-PT1732	53,742	
Total CFDA 20.608			71,492	
Total U.S. Department of Transportation	1		1,392,171	_
Total 5.5. Department of Hallsportation	•		1,002, 11 1	

#### Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended June 30, 2017

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Program/Project Identification Number	Federal Expenditures	Amount Provided to Subrecipients
U.S. Department of Homeland Security				
Passed through the State of California Office of Emergency Services	s, then			
Passed through the County of San Diego Office of Emergency Service	ces:			
2015 Operation Stonegarden	97.067	OES 073-91015, 2015-1078	39,339	-
2016 Operation Stonegarden	97.067	OES 073-91015, 2015-1078	10,637	-
2015 State Homeland Security Grant	97.067	OES 073-00000, 2015-0078	65,906	=
2016 State Homeland Security Grant	97.067	OES 073-00000, 2016-0078	1,292	-
Passed through the City of San Diego Department of Homeland Sec	urity:			
2015 Urban Area Security Initiative Grant	97.067	OES 073-66000, 2015-00093	8,820	-
2016 Urban Area Security Initiative Grant	97.067	OES 073-66000, 2016-00093	7,401	-
Total CFDA 97.067			133,395	
Passed through the City of San Diego Metropolitan Transit System:				
2014 Transit Security Grant Program	97.075	SDMTS Joint Agency Task Force	5,613	-
Total CFDA 97.075		•	5,613	
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Se	curity		139,008	
Total Expenditures of Federal Awa	rds		\$ 3,822,701	\$ 194,065

<sup>\* -</sup> Major Program

#### Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended June 30, 2017

# (1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Applicable to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

#### (a) Scope of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Schedule) includes the federal activity of the City of El Cajon under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2017. The information on this Schedule is prepared in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position and changes in cash flows, where applicable, of the City.

#### (b) Basis of Accounting

The expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following, as applicable, either the cost principles of in OMB Circular A-87, Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments, or the cost principles contained in Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Negative amounts shown on the Schedule represent adjustments or credits made in the normal course of business to amounts reported as expenditures in prior years. The City has elected to use the 10-percent de-minimis indirect cost rate as allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

#### (c) Subrecipients

The City passes certain federal awards received from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. As a subrecipient, the Government has certain compliance responsibilities, such as monitoring its subrecipients to help assure they use these subawards as authorized by laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and that subrecipients achieve the award's performance goals.

# Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2017

# (1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Applicable to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (continued)

(d) Loan Programs with Continuing Compliance Requirements

The federal loan programs listed subsequently are administered directly by the City, and balances and transactions relating to these programs are included in the City's basic financial statements. Loans outstanding at the beginning of the year and loans made during the year are included in the federal expenditures presented in the Schedule. The balances of loans outstanding at June 30, 2017 consist of:

CFDA Number	Program Name	anding Balance une 30, 2017
14.218	Community Development Block Grant	\$ 340,770
14.239	HOME Investment Partnership Grant	9,858,420

#### Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended June 30, 2017

#### SECTION I. SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

Financial Statements					
Type of auditor's report issued on whether the audited were prepared in accordance with		stateme	ents	unmodifie	ed
Internal control over financial reporting:					
Material weakness(es) identified?		Yes	X	No	
Significant deficiency(ies) identified?		Yes	X	None R	Reported
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?		Yes	X	_ No	
Federal Awards					
Internal control over major federal programs	:				
Material weakness(es) identified?		Yes	X	No	
Significant deficiency(ies) identified?		Yes	X	None R	Reported
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major federal programs: unmodified					
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)		Yes	X	_ No	
ldentification of major federal programs:					
CFDA Number	Name of Federal Program				
14.218	Community Development Block Grant				
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:	V.	.,			750,000
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	X	Yes		No	

#### Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2017

#### SECTION II. FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

No matters to be reported.

#### SECTION III. FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

No matters to be reported.

#### Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Year Ended June 30, 2017

#### **CURRENT STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS:**

Finding 2016-001 – Corrected and implemented

Finding 2016-002 – Corrected and implemented